

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,294	CHOI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	G. Nagesh Rao	1722	

All Participants:

(1) G. Nagesh Rao.

(2) Michael Carter.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

References submitted in the IDS post allowance.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner noted the IDS submission and reviewed the cases cited, however they do not read on the claimed invention and the allowance of record still stands.